

THE FACULTY SENATE

TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Minutes of Meeting of 3 May, 1973

Meeting was called to order by Vice-Chairman James Corder at 3:30 p.m.

Senators present: Adams, Arnoult, Baird, Beseda, Bohon, Boyd, Clay, Colquitt, Corder, Dominiak, Ehlmann, Farrar, Frye, Gossman, Graves, Guenther, Harris, Horn, James, Jones, A., Knepper, Lawrence, Lewis, Odom, Patterson, Potter, Reed, Reinecke, Robinson, Wassenich, Winesanker, Williams. Also Present: Dr. James Moudy

The new senators were introduced. These are the members whose terms run through 1975, as indicated on the official roster which was distributed.

Election of new officers.

Senator Betsy Colquitt nominated Senator Manfred Reinecke for chairman. Senator Jeff Horn seconded. Senator Paul Wassenich moved election by acclamation. Senator Winesanker seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

The chair was turned over to Chairman Reinecke.

Senator Corder nominated Senator Bob Frye for Vice-Chairman. Senator Wassenich seconded and Senator Frye was elected by acclamation.

Senator Betsy Colquitt nominated Senator Jeff Horn for Secretary. Senator Odom seconded and Senator Horn was elected by acclamation.

Senator Corder nominated Senator Bill Baird for Assistant Secretary. Senator Wassenich seconded and Senator Baird was elected by acclamation.

Old Business:

Dr. Clay stated that there was no report from the EEO Committee.

Dr. Bohon reported that he had moved at a previous session that Parking Regulations proposal be reported at the May 3rd meeting when new Senators were present.

Dr. Betsy Colquitt responded that the proposal is still under revision. Discussion was further deferred by consensus.

Dr. Boyd moved that the Senate resolve that present faculty parking on North and South side of Fountain, West of the Student Center be reserved for the faculty beginning in the 1973-1974 year. Dr. Potter seconded. Passed unanimously.

New Business:

Dr. Corder entered a resolution in commendation of Dr. Betsy Colquitt. Widely seconded. Unanimously passed.

Resolved: that for her diligence, for her thoughtful understanding of the objectives, obligations, and privileges of the office, for the gracious tenacity and thoroughness with which she pursued the duties of the office, for her notable public representation of the faculty, Professor Betsy Colquitt be commended with our great appreciation for her service as Chairman of the Faculty Senate.


Dr. Clay moved that other members of the executive committee be commended.  
Dr. Wassenich seconded. Passed unanimously.

Dr. Colquitt presented the remarks of the outgoing chairman.

Dr. Reinecke presented remarks, as the new chairman, concerning some of his views on the past actions of the Senate, its lack of action in some areas, suggestions for possible future actions, and plans for internal organization and procedures.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeff L. Horn  
Secretary

THE FACULTY SENATE  
TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Minutes of Meeting of 12 April, 1973

Chairman Betsy Colquitt called a special meeting of the Faculty Senate for 12 April, 1973, after the lack of a quorum prevented the Senate from convening at its regular meeting of 5 April, 1973. The special meeting was called to order by the Chairman at 3:40 p.m.

Senators present: Bohon, Clay, Clegg, B. Colquitt, L. Colquitt, Corder, Frye, Graham, Guenther, Harris, James, Lauer, Lysiak, Nunn, Reavis, Schaeffer, E. Smith, G. Smith, Suggs, Wassenich, and Winesanker.

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved as distributed.

✓ Senator Bohon presented a report from the Committee on Committees. The report recommended the dissolution of some University Committees and nominated members for the remaining committees. Bohon moved acceptance of the report. Lysiak seconded. Passed unanimously.

Senator Corder distributed copies of the Bill of Student Rights and Responsibilities and a set of Amendments (dated 28 March, 1973). Both documents have been approved by the House of Student Representatives and by the student body. Corder moved acceptance of both documents. Wassenich seconded. Dick Wright of the House of Student Representatives was present to answer questions. After discussion of several points the motion for acceptance was passed, 20 for, 1 abstaining.

Senator Bohon introduced the matter of parking regulations adopted by the Traffic Appeals Board, as reported in the Faculty Bulletin of 20 March, 1973. He moved that the Senate request that these regulations be delayed until the new Senate convenes and can discuss them. Nunn seconded. Passed.

Chairman B. Colquitt announced that she had been informed that TCU must have a formal statement of promotion policy and grievance procedures for HEW. Senator Corder moved that the Senate rely upon the existing EEOC Committee to develop grievance and promotion policies and present them for approval at the May meeting of the Senate. Wassenich seconded. Passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Graham, Secretary

Convoctation

THE FACULTY SENATE  
TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Minutes of Meeting of 1 March 1973

Senators present: Beckelhymer, Bohon, Boyd, Brewer, Clay, B. Colquitt, L. Colquitt, Corder, Dominiak, Frye, Graham, Guenther, Harris, Horn, James, Lysiak, Nunn, Patterson, Reavis, Robinson, Schaeffer, E. Smith, G. Smith, Sperry, Suggs, Wassenich, Winesanker, and Winter

Chairman B. Colquitt called the meeting to order at 3: 30 p. m.

Senator Winter's name was inadvertently omitted from the list of senators present at the meeting of February 1, 1973. With this correction the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as distributed.

Senator Suggs presented a report from the Committee of Faculty Political Rights. The committee presented a revision of the statement of faculty political rights and asked Senate approval of the statement that it might be presented to the Chancellor and Board of Trustees for approval. The substantive changes in the new proposal include communication through channels to request time off or leave to pursue political activities, and a provision allowing "approved leave of absence without pay up to two years with such further extensions as the Chancellor, after consultation with appropriate divisional heads, may grant".

Wassenich moved approval; Boyd seconded. Motion passed.

For the Committee on Faculty-Student Concerns Senator Corder distributed copies of proposed amendments to the recently-passed (by the House of Student Representatives) Student's Bill of Rights. He asked no action at this time but asked the senators to study the amendments for action at the next meeting.

Senator Corder also presented a document drawn up by the residents of Tom Brown Dormitory "proposing a Special University Committee to act as a review board for programming policy affecting residence halls". The document contains three sections which describe the composition of the proposed committee, its functions and jurisdiction, and how judgment resulting from its activities is reached. The proposal was submitted too late (February 26) for the Committee on Faculty-Student Concerns to consider it before this Senate meeting. The students seek Senate approval of their document. Corder moved approval in order to get the proposal on the floor for discussion. G. Smith seconded.

Dr. Wible was present and was asked to comment on the proposal. He explained that it arose out of the situation regarding Tom Brown residents and the Administration. This proposal represents an attempt by the residents to solve the stalemate which now seems to exist. The Residence Hall Office staff are the ones who would have to work most with such a committee as that proposed, and the staff would like to know what the Senate and House of Student Representatives think of it. The Residence Hall staff have the impression that adoption of the proposal as written would put them in the position of playing an adversary roll all the time.

Students Eddie Coble and Steve Main were asked for comments. Both thought Housing Office staff would not be forced to play an adversary role. They thought such a committee (called a Review Board) would be far enough removed from the staff that not every little problem would come to it.

After further discussion Senator Corder changed his motion to move approval of the concept embodied in the proposal but not the wording. Bohon seconded. Passed.

Senator Clay reported that the University Committee on Retirement and Insurance has been working with Mr. Cecil White and there is general approval of a change in the hospitalization policy. The change will increase room benefits in the major medical part and will result in some increase in faculty cost.

Senator Reavis reported for the Committee on Rules and By-Laws that all the proposed amendments to the constitution had passed in the recent election. The results of the election have been certified to Dr. Moudy for presentation to the Board of Trustees.

Senator Graham moved that the Chairman of the Senate write to the families of Dr. Frank Hughes, Bishop William Barnds, Professor Dan Jarvis, and Professor Lola Huff, expressing the Senate's sympathy at their deaths and its gratitude for their service to the University. Seconded by Corder. Passed unanimously.

Dr. Kenneth Herrick was invited to read the following resolution from the Intercollegiate Athletic Committee: "Dr. Hoffman moved that we recommend to the Faculty Senate that the University obtain synthetic turf and/or renovation of a dormitory for athletes provided that the funds come from sources outside the University, and that this resolution be presented to the Senate for action. Dr. Worcester seconded. Unanimously approved."

Senator Bohon asked if funding is now available for artificial turf, and why, theoretically, we need it.

Dr. Herrick replied that synthetic turf probably is a little better than grass. Medically probably it is not better. Players seems to prefer it. Probably there are no differences in maintenance costs. Now we are the only school in the Southwest Conference without artificial turf. The only impact is, if our facilities aren't reasonably comparable to those at other schools in the Conference, then it hurts our recruiting program. Dr. Herrick said he knows of no fixed commitment of money yet but would be surprised if sources of money could not successfully be approached on the matter.

Dr. Brewer said he was bothered by the restriction requiring outside money. He thinks the financing should be more flexible if it can be done without affecting the educational and general fund. For example, it is possible that the stadium debt could be refinanced.

Senator Patterson asked about the dormitory renovation mentioned in the resolution.

Dr. Herrick said the Committee is more interested in the turf at present. He thinks the dormitory problem will have to be solved in any case.

Dr. Brewer said the Committee is not talking about an average dormitory, but about a competitive structure--i. e., competing with similar structures at other schools--costing quite a bit.

Senator Reavis moved acceptance of the resolution. Nunn seconded. Passed, 14 for, 6 against, 6 abstaining.

The meeting adjourned at 4: 50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Graham, Secretary

THE FACULTY SENATE  
TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Minutes of Meeting of 1 February, 1973

Chairman B. Colquitt called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.

Senators present: Arnoult, Beckelhymer, Bohon, Boyd, Clay, Clegg, B. Colquitt, L. Colquitt, Corder, Dominiak, Frye, Graham, Graves, Guenther, Harris, Horn, James, Lysiak, Moudy, Neal, Nunn, Patterson, Reavis, Robinson, Schaeffer, G. Smith, Sperry, Suggs, and Wassenich.

It was necessary to make several corrections to the minutes of the meeting of 7 December, 1973. The corrections follow:

- 1) A line was omitted from the list of Senators present. In addition to those listed, the following Senators also were present: Graves, Horn, James, Kelly, Lauer, Lysiak, Patterson, and Robinson.
- 2) Page 1, paragraph 6: The proposed Bill of Student Rights and Responsibilities is being discussed by the House of Student Representatives; it has not yet been approved by that body.
- 3) Typos and other goofs made by the Secretary: p.1, par.7, line 3--read "He asked" for "Asks;" p.2, par. 3, line 1--read "existence" for "extistence;" p.2, par.4, line 3--read "now" for "not."

The minutes were approved with the corrections indicated.

The Chairman reported she had received a letter of resignation from the Senate from Senator Henry Kelly, who is on leave of absence this semester. She announced that the Senate Election Committee had named Mrs. Elvira Harris to fill Senator Kelly's unexpired term as Senator at-large. Chairman Colquitt also announced TCU's nominees for the Piper Award. The nominees are: Charles Kemp (Brite), Emmet Smith (Music), and Ben Procter (History).

Senator Clay presented the following Statement from the Committee on Faculty Salaries and Benefits:

The Annual Salary Survey was prepared in response to a Resolution of the Faculty Senate of November 5, 1970 and was distributed by the committee of which I am the chairman to the TCU Faculty.

Here are a few additional items of information to indicate the coverage of the survey. In the first place the data is for salary only; it does not include what the University pays on retirement, group hospitalization, Social Security, or other fringe benefits.

The data was based on the October 1972 payroll. The survey did not include any adjustments to faculty salaries effected after that date even though they were retroactive in nature.

The data is exactly the same which was submitted to the AAUP for their annual survey. The survey included faculty members who were budgeted as members of the instructional faculty for one-half or more of the contract salary. The survey did not include faculty holding the specially endowed chairs. It did not include deans, associate and assistant deans, librarians, and faculty members engaged in organized research for one-half or more of their time.

The survey did include a total of 285 faculty members, divided as follows: 89 professors; 80 associate professors; 74 assistant professors; and 42 instructors.

Comer Clay, Chairman  
Committee on Faculty Salaries  
and Benefits of the Faculty  
Senate

Senator Horn presented a report on faculty participation in the New Century Campaign. His report showed participation by a total of 569 faculty and professional staff. Total gifts from September, 1969, through December, 1972, from this group were \$93,741.00. Of this group, 115 are now members of the Century Club.

Senator Suggs suggested the information might be more meaningful to us if it were restricted to gifts from faculty. Senator Horn said he would get that information.

Chairman Colquitt declared the Senate in Executive Session for the purpose of considering nominees for honorary degrees to be awarded by TCU. At the conclusion of that business the meeting was adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Graham  
Secretary



THE FACULTY SENATE  
TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Minutes of Meeting 7 December 1972

Chairman B. Colquitt called the meeting to order at 3 30 p.m.

Senators present: Beckelhymer, Bohon, Boyd, Brewer, Clay, Clegg, B. Colquitt, L. Colquitt, Dominiak, Ehlmann, Frye, Graham, Rohman, Schaeffer, G. Smith, Sperry, Suggs, Wassenich, Winesanker, and Winter.

With corrections of two typographical errors (p. 3, lines 2 and 5: for "presidents" read "residents") the minutes of the previous meeting were approved as distributed.

Senator Clay reported that the Committee on Faculty Salaries and Benefits had received from the Business Office the annual salary survey for 1972-73. Copies of the survey will be distributed to the faculty.

Senator James introduced several constitutional revisions and amendments proposed by the Committee on Rules and By-Laws. After some discussion Senator James moved that the suggested changes and additions be submitted to the Faculty Assembly for vote. Seconded by Clay. Passed unanimously.

Copies of the proposed Bill of Student Rights and Responsibilities were distributed by the Chairman who also read a note from Senator Corder reporting that the Bill has been approved by the House of Student Representatives, who hope the Faculty Senate will approve the Bill also. No action was taken at this time.

Senator Ehlmann read a statement in which he said it now appears that the university is facing financial difficulties and as part of a solution are terminating some faculty. Asks if Senate feels this is the way to meet a financial crisis. He expressed concern that the action that has been taken came without prior warning that a financial problem existed and would be met in this manner. He mentioned other areas (besides faculty salaries) that consume considerable quantities of money and wondered if savings were being effected in such areas before reductions are made in faculty.

Senator Bohon said the Committee on Committees is trying to collect information on a multitude of committees. There are committees whose work concerns each of the areas Ehlmann mentioned, and Bohon's committee is trying to find out why committees are not considering these things.

Senator Lauer, who is on the Admissions Committee, said that that committee had met but once to hear a report from Dean Baker. The Admissions Office does seem interested in dropping SAT scores as a basis for admission.

Senator Wassenich pointed out the complexity of these matters and suggested the faculty should be concerned and should press for information. He said the AAUP Executive Committee has met and will consult with the administration about the "financial exigency" to see if such an emergency exists, and if necessary request a special meeting of the Faculty Senate.

Senator Suggs remarked that this is not just a local problem. The crisis extends to the entire university community across the land.

Wassenich said the question is whether the existence of a financial crisis was determined unilaterally or with faculty participation. Should not the faculty also have something to say about how such a crisis is to be met?

In further discussion various senators expressed concern about ways of getting information, the apparent proliferation of administration (many former classrooms in Sadler Hall are not administrative offices) and its cost, and the need for some kind of board or council of faculty, students, and administrators to make recommendations about budget, policy, and other such matters, especially at the planning stage.

Wassenich said the Chancellor is open to the idea of some such body to participate in planning, and he (Dr. Moudy) has asked the Priorities Committee to determine whether it should continue to exist. Wassenich thinks some such group, although a smaller one than the present Priorities Committee, should be continued.

Chairman Colquitt introduced the matter of the state of the proposed new core curriculum. Senators Dominiak and Bohon explained the present status of the proposal. The committee has tried to get expressions of opinions from many sources, but so far there has been little response. A proposal was made at the last committee meeting to accept the sub-committee report (the proposed new curriculum), but the motion to accept was tabled. There is now considerable frustration on the part of many members of the committee over the difficulty of getting decisive action on the report.

Dean Brewer, who was not present for the earlier part of the meeting, asked permission to address the Senate about the previous discussion of the financial situation and faculty terminations. He reminded the Senate that Chancellor Moudy's State of the University address announced the change to nine-month contracts and stated that the university would run a deficit of about \$350,000 this year. The school is now running on projected income figures which show a drop this year and next. In order to make progress toward the goal of competitive salaries some internal savings will have to be made. This did involve a decision to reduce that faculty by about two percent. Besides salaries, however, savings have also been made in Special Courses, the number of undergraduate student salaries paid, and in Evening

Division teachers. It is thought savings of these kinds will be more durable than savings on equipment, which is only a temporary saving. Dr. Brewer looks for a definite change in the direction of faculty involvement in such decisions next year.

Retirements will take care of faculty reductions next year except in 4 or 5 cases. One termination this year involved a tenured faculty member, but the matter was handled in strict accordance with procedures set forth in the Faculty/Staff Handbook.

The subsequent discussion is summarized in the representative questions and Dr. Brewer's answers given below.

- Q. With respect to such things as artificial turf for the stadium, the cost of the Centennial celebration, how can the faculty participate in decisions next year?
- A. A committee or some such small group must be formed to participate in such decisions.
- Q. Was consideration given to the fact that reduction of faculty through resignations and retirement does affect programs?
- A. Yes; present cuts may not be permanent.
- Q. Were chairmen of departments involved in termination procedures?
- A. The person to be terminated was a departmental choice.
- Q. Who selected departments in which terminations were to be made?
- A. Central administration, consulting with deans.
- Q. Has consideration been given to cutting back on graduate programs?
- A. This is now under study and could well take place.

The meeting was adjourned at 5: 20 p. m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Graham, Secretary

## THE FACULTY SENATE

Minutes for November 2, 1972

Senators present:

Arnoult	James
Beckelhymer	Kelly
Bohon	Lysiak
Boyd	Neal
Brewer	Nunn
Clay	Patterson
Clegg	Reavis
Colquitt	Robinson
Colquitt	Rohman
Corder	G. Smith
Dominiak	Sperry
Frye	Suggs
Graves	Wassenich
Guenther	Winesanker
Horn	Winter

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Betsy Colquitt at 3:30 p.m.

Senator Bohon reported that his Committee on Committees was hard at work.

Senator Arnoult's committee on University Organization had no report.

Senator Clay reported that the Committee on Faculty salaries and benefits has met and is coordinating with the University Committee on Retirement and Insurance working toward an increase in hospitalization benefits.

Senator Suggs reported that his committee on Faculty Political Rights is near completion of negotiations leading to a document which the Senate will receive later.

Senator Rohman raised the point of the Senate's vote on an earlier document which Dr. Suggs described as one which was only the basis for the current document.

Senator Corder reported for the committee on Faculty Student Concerns.

Two documents from the students of Tom Brown dormitory were made available.

Senator Corder moved that the students of Tom Brown be commended for their diligence and applauded for their care. Senator Smith seconded. (This motion was subsequently passed after the discussions noted below.)

Senator Rohman moved to table. There was no second.

Senator Suggs suggested that the committee serve as a mediating force rather than the Senate sanctioning a particular position.

Senator Corder responded that his motion was an intent to show appreciation to the students for their choice of tactics. Senator Kelly added "maturely and responsibly."

Senator Corder was asked to present the other parts of the committee's statement and moved that "The committee finds itself essentially in agreement with the principle of 'shared authority' and asks the Faculty Senate to endorse this principle both with respect to the general life of the University and with respect to the immediate problem of visitation rights in Tom Brown Dormitory."

Senator Kelly moved to amend the motion to delete the word both and to change the underlined part to read "and to voice its support of the visitation rights in Tom Brown Dormitory." Senator Bohon seconded. Motion to amend failed.

Senator Rohman moved to amend by rephrasing Senator Kelly's amendment by deleting the reference to Tom Brown Dormitory. Senator Suggs seconded. Motion failed.

Senators Boyd, G. Smith, Brewer, and Dominiak raised several questions concerning the interpretation of the concept of "shared authority."

Senator Rohman moved to table the original motion on the basis of lack of information. Senator Dominiak seconded. Motion failed.

Senator Corder presented a statement:

Reminded by these discussions that the principle of shared authority has frequently been at issue and never far below the surface, the committee proposes that the Senate, through an appropriate committee take up again the quest for shared authority, examining the decision-making process, the concept of administrative concurrence, and other related matters, with the goal of renewing ourselves in a mutual pledge to community. We further recommend that the Senate's committee work in concert with the Priorities Committee and any other appropriate agency.

Senator Clay moved that the Faculty Senate endorse the statement as a resolution. Senator Beckelhymer seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Senator Rohman suggested that the basic need is for a definition of shared authority. Senators G. Smith and Corder suggested response of some type to Tom Brown Dormitory because the students have worked in "appropriate humane ways." Senator Neal supported this intent.

Senator Suggs moved "with the Tom Brown visitation concerns in view, we endorse the principles of cooperative programming and shared authority." Senator Corder seconded. Motion failed.

Senator Rohman moved to amend. "It is the sentiment of the Senate that the presidents of Tom Brown Dormitory should participate in shared responsibility concerning visitations." No second.

Senator Beckelhymer moved to amend "that it is the sentiment of the Senate that presidents of TCU dormitories participate in shared responsibilities." No second.

Senator Corder's original motion, part one of this report, was re-read and passed.

Senator James reported topics under study by the committee on Rules and Bylaws:

1. The addition of a parliamentarian
2. The replacement of officers who resign
3. More inclusive membership
4. Change in designation of administrative officers with right to vote

An additional topic was suggested: Provision for Summer Executive Committee Meetings.

Senator Hitt reported that two faculty research grants were combined into one entity, putting all research funds under one administratively appointed committee. The process eliminated a committee which had previously been appointed by the Senate.

Senator Bohon suggested that discussions be deferred. Senator Arnoult suggested that Senator James' committee consider the question. Action accepted by consensus.

Announcements:

1. Faculty Assembly scheduled for November 9, 3:30 SRI
2. Nominations for honorary degrees will be considered soon. Deadline date will be announced.

Meeting adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff L. Horn  
Assistant Secretary

THE FACULTY SENATE  
TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Minutes of meeting of 5 October 1972

Senators present: Arnoult, Beckelhymer, Bohon, Boyd, Clay, Clegg, B. Colquitt, L. Colquitt, Corder, Ehlmann, Frye, Graham, Guenther, Horn, Isoline, James, Kelly, Lauer, Moudy, Neal, Nunn, Patterson, Reavis, Robinson, Rohman, Schaeffer, E. Smith, G. Smith, Sperry, Wassenich, Winesanker, Winter.

The meeting was called to order at 3:30 p.m. by Chairman Betsy Colquitt. Senator Jim Corder, the new Vice-Chairman pro tem who was elected by mail ballot since the previous meeting, was presented to the Senate. Dr. Henry J. Patterson, a new Senator from the School of Education, was introduced. Dr. Patterson was named by the Election Committee to fill the unexpired term of Dr. Sandy Wall who found it necessary to resign from the Senate.

Senator Bohon presented a report from the Senate Committee on University Committees. The first part of the report was a motion, made by Senator Bohon, that the Committee on University Committees be authorized by the Faculty Senate as a whole to nominate members to a committee to help in making recommendations to the Green Honors Chair. Senator Clay seconded.

In the discussion which followed Senator Rohman questioned the propriety of Senate action with respect to an endowed chair. He thought such an action on the part of the Senate would establish an undesirable precedent with respect to other endowed chairs at the University. Senators Corder and Arnoult remarked that there was an essential difference between the Green Honors Chair and other endowed chairs in that the former is not confined to any one department or school.

Senator Rohman moved that, pending the receipt of more precise information about the existing methods of gathering nominations for, and making appointments to, the Green Honors Chair, the motion from the Committee on Committees be tabled. Senator Schaeffer seconded. Motion passed.

The second part of the report from the Committee on Committees was a "Point of Information," as follows:

"The university Sponsored Research Committee was not reappointed this fall, its work being absorbed by an ad-hoc Research Committee. The members of the latter committee were appointed by Dr. Hitt and consist of two administrators, Drs. Reuter and Hitt, plus four faculty members

consisting of Drs. Suther, Watson, Jones and Vanderhuff.

"Unlike the previous University Committee on University (Sponsored) research which voted small amounts of money (usually below \$300.00) for non-scientific research projects, the newly created ad-hoc committee will accept all types of proposals and judge each on its merits. Moreover, the new committee can award grants up to \$2,500.00.

"Finally, while the logic behind the reorganization just described may have been sound, a Senate Committee (NB. A University Committee. See preceding paragraph. D. G.) was abolished without the prior consultation of the Senate. In the opinion of a majority of the members of the Committee on Committees such a precedent could omen ill for the future relations between the administration and the Senate."

Senator Rohman moved that the Committee on University Sponsored Research be re-established and that it is proposed that it be funded as before. Senator Schaeffer seconded. After some discussion Senator Kelly moved that the motion be tabled. Senator Wassenich spoke against Senator Rohman's motion. Senator Rohman rejoined that his motion should be passed to reassert the Senate's prerogative in such matters. Senator Wassenich seconded Senator Kelly's motion. The motion was defeated. Senator Rohman's motion was defeated.

Senator Winesanker moved that Drs. Hitt and Secrest be asked to come to the November meeting of the Senate to explain the action that was taken and its rationale. Senator Schaeffer seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Senator Graham reported that the Faculty Personnel Policies Committee had met with Dean Brewer to get further information from him concerning his request at the Senate meeting of 7 November that the present Tenure Policy should be given further consideration. At the Committee meeting Dean Brewer called attention to several sections of the existing policy where he thought clearer explanations and more precise language were needed. The Committee is now giving attention to his suggestions.

Senator Clay reported that the Committee on Faculty Salaries and Benefits had received two requests to study the relation between salaries of men and women of the faculty. The Committee will make such a study.

In the week before this Senate meeting Senator Wassenich had sent to members of the Senate a copy of a proposed statement of the University's philosophy and objectives. The statement had been prepared by the Priorities Committee. Senators had been asked to study the statement in order to make comments on it at the present meeting. The proposed



statement differs rather markedly in language from that in the University's current catalogs. Reactions of the Senators to the proposed statement varied widely.

At Senator Wassenich's request Chancellor Moudy made some comments regarding such statements in general. The Chancellor's comments are briefly summarized (and unavoidably paraphrased) below.

The present statement of philosophy and objectives was put together in 1965. It is largely the Chancellor's work but he had help from the late Amos Melton and the statement incorporates some of the late Chancellor Sadler's ideas. This statement was widely discussed at faculty meetings and at meetings of the Board of Trustees. It was then (1965) adopted. Earlier statements had really been "leadership" statements. Is this what is needed? Or should the statement be a description of what now is? Probably it should not contain a statement of specific goals. The Chancellor believes the statement ought to describe not so much what we are doing as what we aim at when we are at our best, as Senator Wassenich had put it.

Senator Wassenich said the statement can get more specific. Chancellor Moudy agreed but pointed out that it would then need more constant revision. The discussion concluded at this point.

Senator Isoline asked what information was available concerning the proposed division of the AddRan College of Arts and Sciences. He thinks it is a matter which should be discussed in the Senate. Chairman Colquitt told the Senate that Dean Brewer had met with the Chairmen of the various departments of AddRan but that no written document had been presented yet. She suggested that the Committee on University Organization might look into the matter. Senator Wassenich said that the Humanities Division has asked for a clear statement on the proposal. Senator Arnoult questioned what the Committee on University Organization could do, or what action it could take.

Chancellor Moudy said that he had not seen anything in writing either concerning the proposed division. The question is, can one man manage seventeen departments, as AddRan now has. He assured the Senate that the proposal is only in the discussion stage now. He hopes the subject will receive much discussion. It is an organizational problem, and is not something new. The Chancellor discussed the concept with Dean Brewer before Dr. Brewer came to TCU as Dean of AddRan. The Chancellor thinks now seems to be an appropriate time to consider some reorganization, before a new Dean of AddRan is appointed.

Senator Bohon pointed out that at a recent meeting Dean Brewer said there was a deadline of the first of November (or thereabouts) for a decision

so a letter asking for applications for the position of Dean of AddRan could be sent out. Discussion, therefore, needs to take place before that date. Senator Wassenich said there already had been much discussion, but no direction to it. There is a good bit of frustration because there is no way to respond to the proposal and forward the results of discussion.

Senator Bohon moved that the Chairman of the Faculty Senate select an appropriate date before 1 November, 1972, for a meeting of the AddRan faculty, and that Dean Brewer be asked to appear at the meeting to report on the proposal. Senator Nunn seconded.

Senator Corder thinks this type of meeting would not be as satisfactory as some type of meeting where sentiments could be forwarded (in writing) to the administration.

Senator E. Smith moved an amendment to the motion to call for a meeting of the Faculty Assembly rather than the AddRan faculty only. Senator Schaeffer seconded.

Senator Wassenich moved a further amendment that Dean Brewer be asked to prepare and distribute a position paper to make the proposal clear, the paper to be distributed before the meeting. Senator Frye seconded.

The original motion and both amendments passed.

The meeting adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Graham, Secretary

## TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE

Minutes of meeting of September 7, 1972

Senators present: Arnoult, Beckelhymer, Bohon, Boyd, Brewer, Clay, Clegg, B. Colquitt, L. Colquitt, Corder, Dominiak, Frye, Graham, Graves, Guenther, Isoline, James, Kelly, Lauer, Lysiak, Moudy, Nunn, Procter, Reavis, Robinson, Rohman, E. Smith, G. Smith, Sperry, Suggs, Wassenich, and Winter.

The meeting was called to order at 3:00 p. m. by Vice-chairman Betsy Colquitt. The minutes of the meeting of May 4, 1972, were approved as distributed.

New Senators were introduced. Senator Bobby Frye is filling the unexpired term of Dr. Fred Erisman, the term expiring in May, 1973; Senator Nell Robinson is filling the unexpired term of Dr. John Hitt, the term expiring in May, 1974.

The Chair asked Senator Clay to explain the complications arising from the resignation of Chairman John Hitt, who is now the Associate Dean of the University. Clay pointed out that the Constitution of the Faculty Assembly and of the Faculty Senate is not clear in the matter of succession. It seems fairly clear that in the event of the Chairman's resignation, the Vice-chairman should become the Chairman. It is not clear whether a Vice-chairman need be selected. Clay thinks the Vice-chairman should become the Chairman, and that there should be a Vice-chairman or Vice-chairman pro tem, because for some years now the Vice-chairman has served as a special liason between the Senate and the House of Student Representatives. The Executive Committee of the Senate also thinks there should be a fourth member of that committee. It is suggested that the Constitution be amended to provide for the succession of Senate officers.

Clay moved that Vice-chairman Colquitt be designated Chairman of the Faculty Senate for the remainder of the 1972-1973 term. Wassenich seconded. Passed unanimously. (Senator L. Colquitt's plaintive "Nay" was gleefully ignored.)

Wassenich moved that a Vice-chairman pro tem be elected. Suggs seconded. In the discussion that followed Senator Rohman voiced the opinion that the election of a Vice-chairman pro tem at this time might not be the proper procedure. He suggested that notice of intent to elect a Vice-chairman pro tem be given to the entire Senate before the election is held.

Corder moved an amendment to Wassenich's motion. The amendment states that a Vice-chairman pro tem will be elected after the entire Senate has been informed that such an election is needed. Seconded by Frye. Discussion followed. Passed unanimously.

Wassenich's motion passed unanimously.

MINUTES OF MEETING OF FACULTY SENATE, SEPTEMBER 7, 1972 (cont.)

The Chairman asked the Rules and By-Laws Committee to study the Faculty Constitution and propose such amendments as are needed.

Appointments to Senate committees were announced. All Senators are urged to take an active part in the work of the committee to which they are assigned. Committee chairmen were asked to submit committee reports early enough for copies to be distributed to Senators before Senate meetings.

Vice-Chancellor Brewer called attention to two matters to which he would like to see the Senate give top priority in deliberations this year: (1) a reconsideration of Tenure Policy; (2) a study of University committees with regard to number of committees, their functions, etc., with a view to increased efficiency in the University committee structure. Chairman Colquitt suggested that the Faculty Personnel Policies Committee and the Committee on University Committees, respectively, should consider these matters.

Mr. Dan Baker, of Admissions, invited members of the Senate to participate in a meeting of high school counsellors which will be held October 2, 1972. All Senators are invited to meet these counsellors at the coffee to be held in the Faculty Center from 1:30 to 4:00 p.m. that day.

Procter asked if the Senate will have an opportunity to participate in discussions about the proposed new core curriculum. Brewer said the proposal will first go to the Courses of Study Committee, and that he expects and hopes for full faculty debate about the proposal. Procter moved that Dr. Gary Tate be invited to discuss the proposal with the Senate. Wassenich seconded. After discussion suggesting that the proposal should be allowed to come out of committee before Senate discussion, the motion was withdrawn.

Kelly moved, L. Colquitt seconded, adjournment. Passed unanimously; adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Graham, Secretary